UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,968	12/06/2001	Karl Jacob	076705-201002/US	7335
	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP (SV2) 2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E Santa Monica, CA 90404		EXAMINER	
2450 Colorado			BHATIA, AJAY M	
Santa Monica, C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/015,968	JACOB ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
AJAY BHATIA	2145

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
The amendment document filed on <u>15 January 2008</u> is conside requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendmentem(s) is required.	
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMEND 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markin B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1B. Other	1.72.
"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.1 B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing	e top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or [21(d). correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.
of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the number by using one of the following status in	of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) oper status identifier, and as such, the individual status e status of every claim must be indicated after its claim dentifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed See Continuation Sheet	ed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37	7 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the no entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 	
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whicheve correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the fo (including a submission for a request for continued examina amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, t non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	llowing: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment ation (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a he correction required is only the corrected section of the
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Qua	
filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amamendment.	amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment nendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental
/Jason Cardone/	SPE 2145
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation of 5 Other: Applicant response is not fully responsive: 714.02 Must Be Fully Responsive

(b) In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to the Office action. The reply by the applicant or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiner's action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. If the reply is with respect to an application, a request may be made that objections or requirements as to form not necessary to further consideration of the claims be held in abeyance until allowable subject matter is indicated. The applicant's or patent owner's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section.

Additionally examiner would like to note no agreement was reached in the interview, therefore examiner is unaware of any agreement the applicant is eluding to